

«Трансформация принципа территориальности патентных прав в цифровую эпоху:
вызовы для международного коммерческого арбитража»

СПИСОК ИСПОЛЬЗОВАННОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Парижская конвенция по охране промышленной собственности от 20 марта 1883 г. // Всемирная организация интеллектуальной собственности (WIPO). URL: <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/paris/>
2. Соглашение по торговым аспектам прав интеллектуальной собственности (TRIPS) от 15 апреля 1994 г. // Всемирная торговая организация (WTO). URL: https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/27-trips.pdf
3. Dinwoodie G. B., Dreyfuss R. C. *A Neofederalist Vision of TRIPS: The Resilience of the International Intellectual Property Regime*. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.
4. Drexel J. *Intellectual Property and the Digital Economy*. — Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016.
5. WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center. *Guide to WIPO Arbitration*. — Geneva: WIPO, 2021. URL: <https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/arbitration/guide/>
6. Abbott F. M., Cottier T., Gurry F. *International Intellectual Property in an Integrated World Economy*. — 2nd ed. — New York: Wolters Kluwer, 2019.
7. Svantesson D. J. B. *Internet & Jurisdiction: Global Status Report*. — Paris: Internet & Jurisdiction Policy Network, 2019. URL: <https://www.internetjurisdiction.net/>
8. Lemley M. A. *Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations* // California Law Review. — 2002. — Vol. 90.
9. Burk D. L., Lemley M. A. *The Patent Crisis and How the Courts Can Solve It*. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009.
10. Cotter T. F. *Comparative Patent Remedies: A Legal and Economic Analysis*. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.
11. Trimble M. *Patent Infringement and Internet Technology* // Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property. — 2012.
12. WIPO. *Revised Issues Paper on Intellectual Property Policy and Artificial Intelligence*. — Geneva: WIPO, 2020. URL: https://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/artificial_intelligence/
13. OECD. *Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Industry*. — Paris: OECD Publishing, 2020. URL: <https://www.oecd.org/>
14. European Commission. *Artificial Intelligence Act Proposal*. — 2021.
15. European Commission. *Shaping Europe's Digital Future*. — 2020.
16. *Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp.*, 550 U.S. 437 (2007).
17. *WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp.*, 585 U.S. (2018).

Ғылыми жетекшісі: Нысанбекова Ляззат Бегимжановна, PhD, әл-Фараби атындағы
ҚазҰУ Халықаралық құқық кафедрасының ассистент профессоры

Патенттік құқықтардың аумақтылық принципін цифрлық дәуірде трансформациялау:
халықаралық коммерциялық арбитраж алдындағы сын-қатерлер

ПАЙДАЛАНЫЛҒАН ӘДЕБИЕТТЕР ТІЗІМІ

1. Өнеркәсіптік меншікті қорғау жөніндегі 1883 жылғы 20 наурыздағы Париж конвенциясы // Дүниежүзілік интеллектуалдық меншік ұйымы (WIPO). URL: <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/paris/>
2. Интеллектуалдық меншік құқықтарының сауда аспектілері жөніндегі келісім (TRIPS) 1994 жылғы 15 сәуірдегі // Дүниежүзілік сауда ұйымы (WTO). URL: https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/27-trips.pdf
3. Dinwoodie G. B., Dreyfuss R. C. A Neofederalist Vision of TRIPS: The Resilience of the International Intellectual Property Regime. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.
4. Drexel J. Intellectual Property and the Digital Economy. — Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016.
5. WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center. Guide to WIPO Arbitration. — Geneva: WIPO, 2021. URL: <https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/arbitration/guide/>
6. Abbott F. M., Cottier T., Gurry F. International Intellectual Property in an Integrated World Economy. — 2nd ed.— New York: Wolters Kluwer, 2019.
7. Svantesson D. J. B. Internet & Jurisdiction: Global Status Report. — Paris: Internet & Jurisdiction Policy Network, 2019. URL: <https://www.internetjurisdiction.net/>
8. Lemley M. A. Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations // California Law Review. — 2002. — Vol. 90.
9. Burk D. L., Lemley M. A. The Patent Crisis and How the Courts Can Solve It. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009.
10. Cotter T. F. Comparative Patent Remedies: A Legal and Economic Analysis. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.
11. Trimble M. Patent Infringement and Internet Technology // Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property. — 2012.
12. WIPO. Revised Issues Paper on Intellectual Property Policy and Artificial Intelligence. — Geneva: WIPO, 2020. URL: https://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/artificial_intelligence/
13. OECD. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Industry. — Paris: OECD Publishing, 2020. URL: <https://www.oecd.org/>
14. Еуропалық комиссия. Жасанды интеллект туралы акт жобасы (Artificial Intelligence Act Proposal). — 2021.
15. Еуропалық комиссия. Еуропаның цифрлық болашағын қалыптастыру (Shaping Europe's Digital Future). — 2020.
16. Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp., 550 U.S. 437 (2007).
17. WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp., 585 U.S. (2018).

Scientific Advisor: Lyazzat B. Nysanbekova, PhD, Assistant Professor of the Department of International Law, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University

“Transformation of the Principle of Territoriality of Patent Rights in the Digital Age: Challenges for International Commercial Arbitration”

REFERENCES

1. Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property of March 20, 1883 // World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). URL: <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/paris/>
2. Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) of April 15, 1994 // World Trade Organization (WTO). URL: https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/27-trips.pdf
3. Dinwoodie G. B., Dreyfuss R. C. A Neofederalist Vision of TRIPS: The Resilience of the International Intellectual Property Regime. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.
4. Drexel J. Intellectual Property and the Digital Economy. — Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016.
5. WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center. Guide to WIPO Arbitration. — Geneva: WIPO, 2021. URL: <https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/arbitration/guide/>
6. Abbott F. M., Cottier T., Gurry F. International Intellectual Property in an Integrated World Economy. — 2nd ed. — New York: Wolters Kluwer, 2019.
7. Svantesson D. J. B. Internet & Jurisdiction: Global Status Report. — Paris: Internet & Jurisdiction Policy Network, 2019. URL: <https://www.internetjurisdiction.net/>
8. Lemley M. A. Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations // California Law Review. — 2002. — Vol. 90.
9. Burk D. L., Lemley M. A. The Patent Crisis and How the Courts Can Solve It. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009.
10. Cotter T. F. Comparative Patent Remedies: A Legal and Economic Analysis. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.
11. Trimble M. Patent Infringement and Internet Technology // Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property. — 2012.
12. WIPO. Revised Issues Paper on Intellectual Property Policy and Artificial Intelligence. — Geneva: WIPO, 2020. URL: https://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/artificial_intelligence/
13. OECD. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Industry. — Paris: OECD Publishing, 2020. URL: <https://www.oecd.org/>
14. European Commission. Artificial Intelligence Act Proposal. — 2021.
15. European Commission. Shaping Europe’s Digital Future. — 2020.
16. Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp., 550 U.S. 437 (2007).
17. WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp., 585 U.S. (2018).

科学导师：尼桑别科娃·利亚扎特·贝金扎诺夫娜（Nysanbekova Lyazzat Begimzhanovna），PhD（哲学博士），阿里-法拉比哈萨克国立大学国际法学系助理教授

数字时代专利权地域性原则的转型：国际商事仲裁面临的挑战

参考文献

1. 《保护工业产权巴黎公约》（1883年3月20日） // 世界知识产权组织 (WIPO). URL: <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ip/paris/>
2. 《与贸易有关的知识产权协定》（TRIPS协定）（1994年4月15日） // 世界贸易组织 (WTO). URL: https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/27-trips.pdf
3. Dinwoodie G. B., Dreyfuss R. C. A Neofederalist Vision of TRIPS: The Resilience of the International Intellectual Property Regime. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.
4. Drexel J. Intellectual Property and the Digital Economy. — Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016.
5. WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center. Guide to WIPO Arbitration. — Geneva: WIPO, 2021. URL: <https://www.wipo.int/amc/en/arbitration/guide/>
6. Abbott F. M., Cottier T., Gurry F. International Intellectual Property in an Integrated World Economy. — 2nd ed. — New York: Wolters Kluwer, 2019.
7. Svantesson D. J. B. Internet & Jurisdiction: Global Status Report. — Paris: Internet & Jurisdiction Policy Network, 2019. URL: <https://www.internetjurisdiction.net/>
8. Lemley M. A. Intellectual Property Rights and Standard-Setting Organizations // California Law Review. — 2002. — Vol. 90.
9. Burk D. L., Lemley M. A. The Patent Crisis and How the Courts Can Solve It. — Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2009.
10. Cotter T. F. Comparative Patent Remedies: A Legal and Economic Analysis. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.
11. Trimble M. Patent Infringement and Internet Technology // Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property. — 2012.
12. WIPO. Revised Issues Paper on Intellectual Property Policy and Artificial Intelligence. — Geneva: WIPO, 2020. URL: https://www.wipo.int/about-ip/en/artificial_intelligence/
13. OECD. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Big Data in Industry. — Paris: OECD Publishing, 2020. URL: <https://www.oecd.org/>
14. 欧盟委员会 (European Commission). 《人工智能法案提案》 (Artificial Intelligence Act Proposal). — 2021.
15. 欧盟委员会 (European Commission). 《塑造欧洲的数字未来》 (Shaping Europe's Digital Future). — 2020.
16. Microsoft Corp. v. AT&T Corp., 550 U.S. 437 (2007).
17. WesternGeco LLC v. ION Geophysical Corp., 585 U.S. (2018).